

In group theory we dealt one binary operation  
 " ring " " " two " "

### Definition:-

A ring  $R$  is a set together with two binary operation  $+$  and  $\times$   
 (we will call them addition and multiplication) satisfying:

- $(R, +)$  is an abelian group
- $\times$  is associative:  $(a \times b) \times c = a \times (b \times c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in R$
- the distributive law is followed:  
 $(a+b) \times c = a \times c + b \times c$   
 $c \times (a+b) = c \times a + c \times b$

•  $\text{Ring } R$  is commutative when multiplication is commutative

•  $\text{Ring } R$  is said to have an identity (or contain 1) if there is an element  $1 \in R$  with  $1 \times a = a \times 1 = a \quad \forall a \in \text{Ring } R$   
 (may be there or may not)

Notations:- Whenever I write  $ab$  this means  $a \times b \quad \forall a, b \in R$

Additive identity of  $R$  is denoted by  $0$

$$a + 0 = 0 + a = a \quad \forall a \in R$$

Additive inverse of  $a \in R$  is denoted by  $-a$  (will be)

As  $R$  is a group under addition  $\Rightarrow b + a = a + b \quad \forall a, b \in R$   
 So  $R$  is necessarily commutative under addition

## Definition (Division Ring). -

A ring  $R$  with identity  $1$  where  $1 \neq 0$ , is called a division ring (skew field) if every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse, i.e.,  $\exists b \in R$  such that  $ab = ba = 1$ . A commutative division ring is called a field.

---

•  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \times)$  is ring or not?  $\rightarrow$  Yes

$\hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  follows basic axioms

$\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  with  $+$  is not a group  $\Rightarrow \{\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}, +, \times\}$  is not a ring

•  $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \times)$  is ring or not?  $\rightarrow$  Yes

•  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \times)$  " " " " ?  $\rightarrow$  Yes

•  $(\mathbb{C}, +, \times)$  " " " " ?  $\rightarrow$  Yes

---

Propositions: - Let  $R$  be a ring.

(1)  $0a = a0 = 0 \quad \forall a \in R$

(2)  $(-a)b = a(-b) = -(ab) \quad \forall a, b \in R$

(3)  $(-a)(-b) = ab \quad \forall a, b \in R$

(4) If  $R$  has an identity  $1$ , then the identity is unique and  $-a = (-1)a$

Proof: (1), (2), (3) are easy to prove (we had done it in session)

(4) Suppose  $1$  and  $1'$  are two identities in  $R$ .

$$\Rightarrow 1a = a1 = a \quad \& \quad 1'a = a1' = a \quad \forall a \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow 1a - 1'a = a - a$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-1')a = a + (-a) = 0 \Rightarrow (1-1')a = 0 \quad \forall a \in R$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-1' = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + (-1') = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = 1' \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$$

So identity in  $R$  is unique

→ Unlike integers, however, general rings may pass many elements that have multiplicative inverses or may have non-zero elements  $a$  and  $b$  whose product is zero.

Def:- Let  $R$  be a ring.

1) A non-zero element  $a$  of  $R$  is called a zero divisor <sup>(ZD)</sup> if  $\exists$  a non-zero element  $b \in R$  such that  $ab = ba = 0$

2)  $R$  has an identity  $1 \neq 0$  and an element  $e \in R$  is unit in  $R$  if  $\exists$  some  $v \in R$  such that  $ev = ve = 1$ . This set of units <sub>(U)</sub> is denoted by  $R^\times$ .

$\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$  has zero divisors as  $\{2, 3, 4\}$  and units as  $\{1, 5\}$

$$ZD = \{2, 3, 4\}, U = \{1, 5\}$$

$|ZD| \cup |U| \leq |R|$  for  $R$  is finite where  $| \cdot |$  is the cardinality

Def:- (Integral Domain):-

It is A commutative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$  if it has no zero divisors  
 " " " " " " " " if  $ab = 0$  if and only if  
 " " " " " " " "  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0 \quad \forall a, b \in R$

→  $ab = ac, a, b, c \in \text{Integral Domain}$   
 $\Rightarrow b = c \quad \forall a, b, c \in \text{Integral Domain}$  and  $a$  is not a zero-divisor or 0

1) Show that  $(-1)^2 = 1$  in  $R = \text{ring with } 1$   
 $\dots - 1 \in R \Rightarrow -1 \in R$ . So,  $(-1)(-1) \in R \Rightarrow 1 \in R \Rightarrow (-1)^2 = (-1)(-1) = 1$

1)  $1 \in R \Rightarrow -1 \in R$ . So,  $(-1)(-1) \in R \Rightarrow 1 \in R \Rightarrow \dots$

2) Prove that if  $u$  is an unit in  $R$  then so is  $-u$

Ans:-  $u \in U(R) \Rightarrow -u \in R$   
 $u = (u^{-1})^{-1}$ ,  $u u^{-1} = 1$ ,  $(u^{-1})^{-1} u^{-1} = 1 \Rightarrow u^{-1} \in U(R)$   
 $-u^{-1} \in R$ ,  $-u (u^{-1})^{-1} = 1 \Rightarrow -u \in U(R)$

Definition (Subring):- A subgroup  $S$  of  $R$  which is closed under multiplication is called a subring of  $R$

$S$  is a subgroup  $\Rightarrow$  It is closed under addition

$\Rightarrow$  So to prove a subset  $S$  of  $R$  is a subring we must show that  $S$  is not empty and is closed under addition and multiplication (rather subtraction)

$\Rightarrow$  Examples:- Subring  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   
 " " "  $\mathbb{Q}$

$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{Z}$  or not?  $\rightarrow$  No for  $n \geq 2$

$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$

$1 + (n-1) = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \neq n$  as it is not in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

Thus  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is not a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$  not a subring

Q) Prove that the intersection of any non-empty collection of subrings of a ring is also a subring

Ans:-  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be subrings of  $R$   $|S_1 \cap S_2| \neq \emptyset$  as  $0$  is there

$x, y \in S_1 \cap S_2 \Rightarrow x, y \in S_1$  and  $x, y \in S_2$

$x-y \in S_1$ ,  $xy \in S_1$ ,  $x-y \in S_2$ ,  $xy \in S_2$

$\Rightarrow x-y, xy \in S_1 \cap S_2$

$\Rightarrow S_1 \cap S_2$  is subring of  $R$

$\Rightarrow x-y, xy \in S$

$\Rightarrow S_1 \cap S_2$  is subring of  $R$